

TSS test report
Personal space testing.

Author: David Alexandrou
Internal use only.

Quick intro:

TSS is a HRTF based virtual surround system, designed to provide ambience and surround effects from two front speakers. SRS Tru-surround technology is the most widely used virtualiser technology for laptops and televisions.

System comparison:

SRS TruSurround XT,
SRS TruSurround HD,
Involve TSS,
Stereo.

Set-up:

Clip used: Xmen the movie, Chapter 12
Speakers: Logitech X-230 desktop speakers with woofer.
Presentation: Desktop computer screen.
Distance to speakers is approximately 0.5 meters.
Video encoding: XVID
Audio encoding: PCM 44.1KHZ
Test Subjects: 11

Format:

Subject was shown the stereo version of the clip as a reference point, and then subjected to each of three clips, labelled so as to be completely free of information that could identify which virtualiser was used for the encoding.

The subject was then asked to comment on various qualities of the sound, paying attention to

- Audio quality
- Surround effect
- Dimension
- Depth

The subject was then asked if they had a preference to any of the systems in particular, and asked to give reasons for their answer.

Preferences

Note: Some people chose two in preference instead of one. There was no coercion to choose one only.

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Stereo: | 0 |
| SRS XT: | 0 |
| SRS HD: | 5 |
| TSS: | 7 |
| No Preference: | 2 |

Observable qualitative results:

In the cases where TSS was chosen as the preferred listening system, the most common reason given was an increased dimensional / surround quality and depth in comparison to the other systems, followed by observations that the original sound quality has been compromised less.

In the cases where SRS-HD was chosen as the preferred listening system, the reasons given were an increased sense of “Richness”, and better clarity of surround elements.

Note: SRS-HD had by default a vocal clarity enhancement enabled, which may have increased the perception that it had more clarity.

SRS-XT has not yet been preferred by a test case.

Stereo was generally accepted as being clear, but flat to the plane of the speakers with little depth.

Conclusions

The test data so far shows that TSS is an effective way to increase the perceived quality of lower-end PC speakers, adding depth and dimension, separating the sound image from the speakers, as well as surround sound effects for people upon whom HRTF's are effective.

It also shows that while there is varying preference as to which system gives a more enjoyable listening experience, they were generally preferred over stereo.

Virtual surround sound perception tests. - XMEN test

| | SRS XT | SRS HD | TSS | STEREO | Preferred? | Reasons / Comments |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | Perceptions | Perceptions | Perceptions | Perceptions | | |
| Subject 1 | Image pushed backwards. Voice timbre has changed | Image pushed backwards More echo on initial central voices. Voice sounds sharper, enhanced. | More Depth, More echo. Speech is closer to original timbre. | No comment | None | Different advantages to each |
| Subject 2 | Closer to stereo than surround. Voices quieter, ambience better than stereo | Good side surround, good environmental ambience. | Good side surround, good environmental ambience. | Little or no perception of background sounds. | TSS / SRS HD | Additional level of background / ambient audio |
| Subject 3 | Bit more dimension than stereo but muddy. | Good dimension, better clarity than TSS | Good dimensions, bit less clarity than TSS | Quite clear, minimal dimension. | 1) TSS / 2) HD / 3) STEREO / 4) XT | Better overall dimension and retention of audio quality. |
| Subject4 | Quiet, missing lots of surround voice echo | Good echo, voices more centered around actor's head. | Good echo, voices more disconnected from the actor's head | Less clear ambience. | 1) HD 2) TSS 3) STEREO / XT | Slightly richer perception on SRS HD than TSS |
| Subject 5 | Flat, less detail. | Good ambience, but smaller sound field compared to TSS | Good ambience, sound coming from the sides. | Flat, less detail. | 1) TSS / 2) HD / 3) STEREO / 4) XT | TSS had more dimension / depth. |

Electronic components salesperson / accounting.

Metal trade and commercial / industrial design.

Electrical / Electronics engineering and speaker design.

Production management

Electronics and PCB production QA

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| Subject 6 | Quieter, more distant. | Some surround feeling but limited | Good surround effects of voices everywhere. | Seemed louder (effect of stereo down mix) | 1) TSS 2) HD 3) STEREO 4) XT | TSS had more surround effect feeling. | Sales manager |
| Subject 7 | No comments. | Good clear pinpoint separation from the speakers. | Surroundy, but more stereo and less precise than SRSHD. Better than XT | Stereo | 1) HD 2) TSS 3) STEREO / XT | SRSHD had better surround, more specific placement and maybe clearer. Preferred HD and TSS over XT / STEREO | General management / psychology background |
| Subject 8 | Bottom end of voices absent (possibly lack of woofer signal). Minimal surround ambience. | Good separation from the speakers themselves, good depth. Not very forward. | Much closer to timbre of original recording. Good surround effects, better ambience. | Flat stereo. | 1) TSS 2) HD 3) STEREO 4) XT | TSS has to my ears more ambient sound, frequency response is closer to the original intention. Better surround effects. | Electrical / electronic engineering / speaker and audio dsp / design |
| Subject 9 | Similar to TSS | Sounded clearer or richer than TSS or XT, but more stereo. | Sounded 'richer' and more reverb impressions than XT or HD | Stereo. Obviously 2-d and left-right | No preference. | SRSHD sounded slightly more stereo than TSS / SRSXT, but clearer / richer. TSS sounded richer than XT. | Software engineer / web designer |
| Subject 10 | Hard to tell difference b/w this and HD | Hard to tell difference b/w this and XT | Impression of 'reverb' or surround echo. | No comment | TSS | Hard to pick, TSS had more surround / echo-ey sounds. | Logistics manager / electronics background |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Subject 11 | Better than stereo | Comparable with TSS in terms of dimension. | Good dimensional quality, good audio quality. | No comment | TSS / SRS HD | TSS had slightly better dimensional quality and 'richness' over HD, by a small margin. | Sales manager / speaker and hifi sales background |
| Subject 12 | Similar to HD but not as broad | Clearest sound. Surround elements aren't as close to the head, but are thrown a greater distance | Good deep, surround elements feel closer to the listener, and go further back. | Clear, good quality | SRSHD, TSS, STEREO, XT | HD had better clarity, hence the preference. | Electronic technician background |